



United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO		
10/099,604	03/15/2002	Joseph C. Trautman	ARC 3116 R1	4960		
22921	7590 01/15/2004		EXAMINER			
ALZA CORPORATION			LAMB, BRENDA A			
P O BOX 7210 INTELLECTUAL PROPERTY DEPARTMENT			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER		
MOUNTAIN VIEW, CA 940397210			1734			
			DATE MAILED: 01/15/2004	!		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.		policant/s)		
Acri A vi A	1 7 4 4 4 4	604/^	Trautmon et al		
Office Action Summary	Examiner	+MB	G	roup Art Unit	
- The MAILING DATE of this communication app	ears on the cover s	heet benea	ath the corre	spondence	address –
Period for Reply		1			
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.	T TO EXPIRE		MONTH(S) F	ROM THE M	IAILING DATE
 Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 from the mailing date of this communication. If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days. If NO period for reply is specified above, such period shall, by d Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, b Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). 	s, a reply within the statuefault, expire SiX (6) MO y statute, cause the app	utory minimur NTHS from the	m of thirty (30) o he mailing date come ABANDO	lays will be cor of this commu NED (35 U.S.C	nsidered timely. nication. S. § 133).
Status					
☐ Responsive to communication(s) filed on					·
☐ This action is FINAL.					
 Since this application is in condition for allowance ex accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 			ution as to t	he merits is	closed in
Disposition of Claims					
Claim(s) 1-32	is/are pend	_ is/are pending in the application.			
Of the above claim(s)			is/are with	drawn from o	consideration.
□ Claim(s)					
☐ Claim(s)	is/are rejec	is/are rejected.			
chaim(s)					
(Claim(s) 1-32			are subjec requireme		n or election
Application Papers ☐ The proposed drawing correction, filed on	:a 🗆 ann	wayad 🗆 a	•	IR.	
☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are o	• •		uisapproved.		
☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner.	ojected to by the Ex	armer			
☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examine.	ar				
, .	·1•				
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 (a)-(d)	with a unclair 25 II C.C. S	S 110 (a) (d)			
 □ Acknowledgement is made of a claim for foreign prior □ All □ Some* □ None of the: 	nty under 35 U.S.C. §	3 119 (a)–(u)).		
☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have be	en received				
☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have be	,	cation No			
☐ Copies of the certified copies of the priority docum					
in this national stage application from the Internat	ional Bureau (PCT R	ule 17.2(a))			
*Certified copies not received:					·
attachment(s)					
☐ Information Disclosure Statement(s), PTO-1449, Paper	er No(s)	□ Inter	view Summar	y, PTO-413	
		Notice of Informal Patent Application, PTO-19			
□ Notice of Reference(s) Cited, PTO–892					
 □ Notice of Reference(s) Cited, PTO-892 □ Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review, PTO 	-948		r		

U.S. Patent and Trademark Office PTO-326 (Rev. 11/00)

Part of Paper No.

Application/Control Number: 10/099,604

Art Unit: 1734

Restriction to one of the following inventions is required under 35 U.S.C. 121:

- I. Claims 1-13, drawn to method, classified in class 427, subclass 435.
- II. Claims 14-32, drawn to apparatus, classified in class 118, subclass 244.

Inventions I and II are related as process and apparatus for its practice. The inventions are distinct if it can be shown that either: (1) the process as claimed can be practiced by another materially different apparatus or by hand, or (2) the apparatus as claimed can be used to practice another and materially different process. (MPEP § 806.05(e)). In this case the apparatus as claimed or claims can be used to practice another different process such one for coating a substrate without projections.

The inventions are distinct, each from the other because:

If applicant elects Group II or Group I then he /she will heed to make a further specie election.

This application contains claims directed to the following patentably distinct species of the claimed invention: a liquid holding surface is the outer surface of a rotatable cylindrically shaped roller or the liquid holding surface is immobile.

Applicant is required under 35 U.S.C. 121 to elect a single disclosed species for prosecution on the merits to which the claims shall be restricted if no generic claim is finally held to be allowable. Currently, claims 14-15 and 27-32 are generic for Group II and claims 1-3 and 7-13 are generic for Group I.

Applicant is advised that a reply to this requirement must include an identification of the species that is elected consonant with this requirement, and a listing of all claims readable thereon, including any claims subsequently added. An argument that a claim

Application/Control Number: 10/099,604 Page 3

Art Unit: 1734

is allowable or that all claims are generic is considered nonresponsive unless accompanied by an election.

Upon the allowance of a generic claim, applicant will be entitled to consideration of claims to additional species which are written in dependent form or otherwise include all the limitations of an allowed generic claim as provided by 37 CFR 1.141. If claims are added after the election, applicant must indicate which are readable upon the elected species. MPEP § 809.02(a).

Should applicant traverse on the ground that the species are not patentably distinct, applicant should submit evidence or identify such evidence now of record showing the species to be obvious variants or clearly admit on the record that this is the case. In either instance, if the examiner finds one of the inventions unpatentable over the prior art, the evidence or admission may be used in a rejection under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) of the other invention.

Because these inventions are distinct for the reasons given above and have acquired a separate status in the art as shown by their different classification, restriction for examination purposes as indicated is proper.

Because these inventions are distinct for the reasons given above and have acquired a separate status in the art because of their recognized divergent subject matter, restriction for examination purposes as indicated is proper.

Any inquiry concerning this communication should be directed to Brenda Lamb at telephone number 571-272-1231. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday through Tuesday and Thursday through Friday with alternate Wednesdays off.

BRENDA (A. C.) (B)

of adel Junk